



Unsupervised Discovery of Object Landmarks as Structural Representations

Yuting Zhang¹, Yijie Guo¹, Yixin Jin¹, Yijun Luo¹, Zhiyuan He¹, Honglak Lee^{1,2}

¹ University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

² Google Brain



Structural representations of images

- Computer vision seeks to understand visual structures.
 - Poses, contours, 3D shapes, ...
 - Physically conceptualized, perceptible by humans
- Deep neural networks can learn latent representations.
 - Desired properties: distributed, sparse, transferable, ...
 - Not as conceptualized and interpretable as explicit structures

Structural representations of images

- Computer vision seeks to understand visual structures.
 - Poses, contours, 3D shapes, ...
 - Physically conceptualized, perceptible by humans
- Deep neural networks can learn latent representations.
 - Desired properties: distributed, sparse, transferable, ...
 - Not as conceptualized and interpretable as explicit structures
- Typically, extra supervision is needed to bridge the gap between latent representations and explicit structures
 - costly to obtain and often unavailable

Can we train a deep neural network to get image representations of explicit structures without supervision?

The explicit structure

Can we train a deep neural network to get image representations of explicit structures without supervision?

• We consider a specific type of explicit structures:

Object landmarks



- Compact representation of object shapes
- Generally applicable to many object categories

Our framework



Our framework



Our framework

































Technical outline

- Unsupervised object
 landmark discovery
- A fully differentiable neural network architecture



• The image reconstruction can encourage the learning of informative landmarks and features.

Technical outline





Landmark





Unsupervised landmark discovery

- A differentiable formulation
- Unsupervised constraints to define a valid landmark detector



Input image

Related work:

James Thewlis, Hakan Bilen, and Andrea Vedaldi, "Unsupervised learning of object landmarks by factorized spatial embeddings," In *ICCV*, 2017.

Landmark detector: Architecture



Landmark detector: Architecture



From heatmaps to coordinates

Ours:



- Averaged coordinate weighted by the heatmap
- (x,y) is **differentiable** with respect to the heatmap

Landmark discovery



- The neural network can be used to output landmark coordinates.
- However, without additional training objectives, the landmark coordinates can be **arbitrary latent features**.

3 desirable properties for a landmark detector

Property 1: Concentration of heatmap values



Property 2: Separation of landmarks

- Different landmarks should cover different visual semantics.
- Penalize if the **pairwise distances** among landmarks are too small.

$$L_{\rm sep} = \sum_{k \neq k'}^{1, \dots, K} \exp\left(-\frac{\|(x_{k'}, y_{k'}) - (x_k, y_k)\|_2^2}{2\sigma_{\rm sep}^2}\right)$$

- For a transformation g that does not change local visual semantics.
- The landmarks on the two images should satisfy the same



transformation g.

- For a transformation g that does not change local visual semantics.
- The landmarks on the two images should satisfy the same



transformation g.

- For a transformation g that does not change local visual semantics.
- The landmarks on the two images should satisfy the same



transformation g.

- For a transformation g that does not change local visual semantics.
- The landmarks on the two images should satisfy the same transformation *g*.



- For a transformation g that does not change local visual semantics.
- The landmarks on the two images should satisfy the same



transformation g.

$$L_{\text{eqv}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|g(x'_k, y'_k) - (x_k, y_k)\|_2^2$$

- Equivariance for landmark discovery has been explored by Thewlis et al, 2017.
- Ours are directly formulated on the landmark coordinate.

(Thewlis et al, 2017) James Thewlis, Hakan Bilen, and Andrea Vedaldi, "Unsupervised learning of object landmarks by factorized spatial embeddings," In *ICCV*, 2017.

Property 3: Equivariance – the transformation

- Random thin-plate-spline (TPS) to synthesize the transformation g
 - Global affine: Translation, Scaling, Rotation
 - Local TPS:





• For videos, also use the optical flows as the transformation g





























Landmark-based decoding

















Experimental results

Landm

Thewlis at al.







Representations

Unsupervised discovery: Faces, 10 landmarks

Thewlis at al.



Errors

Forehead landmark to the left Lower-lip landmark to the right

Mouth-corner landmark on the forehead

er Right-eyebrow 1 landmark on 1 the left side Forehead landmark to the left

Incorrect landmarks

• Expected correct location

Unsupervised discovery: Faces, 10 landmarks

Thewlis at al.

Errors

Forehead landmark to the left



Ours

epresentations

Unsupervised discovery: Faces, 30 landmarks



Unsupervised discovery: Faces, 30 landmarks



Unsupervised landmark discovery: Cat head



Unsupervised landmark discovery: Cat head



Unsupervised landmarks: shoes, cars, animals, MNIST



Our paper: Unsupervised Discovery of Object Landmarks as Structural Representations

Unsupervised landmark discovery: Human3.6M



Unsupervised landmark discovery: Human3.6M



Quantitative evaluation: Regression to Ground Truth Landmarks

• Train a **linear regression model** to map the discovered landmark to human-annotated landmarks without finetuning the neural network.



MAFL faces (5 target landmarks)



Semi-supervised learning

• Better landmark detector using less training samples



Cars, cat heads, human bodies



Facial attribute classification

- Landmark coordinates as visual representations
- Predicting 13 binary facial attributes that are related to the facial shape.

Arched Eyebrows, Bags Under Eyes, Big Lips, Big Nose, Double Chin, High Cheekbones, Male, Mouth Slightly Open, Narrow Eyes, Oval Face, Pointy Nose, Receding Hairline, Smiling

| Method | Feature dimension | Accuracy |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Ours (discovered landmarks) | 60 | 83.2 |

Facial attribute classification

• Landmark coordinates as visual representations

• Predicting 13 binary facial attributes that are related to the facial shape.

Arched Eyebrows, Bags Under Eyes, Big Lips, Big Nose, Double Chin, High Cheekbones, Male, Mouth Slightly Open, Narrow Eyes, Oval Face, Pointy Nose, Receding Hairline, Smiling

| Method | Feature dimension | Accuracy |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Ours (discovered landmarks) | 60 | 83.2 |
| FaceNet (top-layer) | 128 | 80.0 |
| FaceNet (conv-layer) | 1792 | 82.4 |

[FaceNet] Florian Schroff, Dmitry Kalenichenko, and James Philbin, "FaceNet: A unified embedding for face recognition and clustering," in *CVPR*, 2015





Image manipulation

- Discover landmarks and extract latent features from an image.
- Manipulate the landmarks to generate new images / videos. Discovered landmarks



Image manipulation

- Discover landmarks and extract latent features from an image.
- Manipulate the landmarks to generate new images / videos. Discovered landmarks



Image manipulation: Human body

- Discover landmarks and extract latent features from an image.
- Manipulate the landmarks to generate new images / videos.
 Discovered landmarks
 Manipulated landmarks



manipulating all 16 landmarks

Conclusions

- Unsupervised object landmark discovery as image representations with explicit structures
- A fully differentiable neural network architecture

Conclusions

- Unsupervised object landmark discovery as image representations with explicit structures
- A fully differentiable neural network architecture
- Our unsupervised model can
 - produce meaningful landmarks
 - perform competitively to supervised facial landmark detector
 - provide a neural-network interface that humans can manipulate

Unsupervised Discovery of Object Landmarks as Structural Representations



Project page (Code & results): http://ytzhang.net/



